Business Meeting Agenda Review

Session 1: Order of the meeting, process and voting
- Minutes of the previous meeting
- Business arising from previous meeting minutes

Session 2: APMEN Annual Report 2013

Session 3: Recommendations from Country Partners

Session 4: Recommendations from Partner Institutions

Session 5: Report and Recommendations from Vivax Working Group

Session 6: Report and Recommendations from Vector Control Working Group

Session 7: Evaluation Findings

Session 8: Summary of Recommendations
  (Including election of Co-chairs and location and themes for 2014 annual meeting)


Any other business?
SESSION 1: MEETING PROCESS, MINUTES OF LAST MEETING, BUSINESS ARISING
Process of Business Meeting

- All are welcome to stay during this meeting
- Only Country Partners vote, one vote per country partner
- Country partners have had time to consider the agenda
2013 Business Meeting

Recommendation 1
That the budget for emerging themes (Surveillance Thematic Group) is increased to include GIS for detailed information gathering and capacity building activities such as customised training. $100,000

Recommendation 2
That APMEN supports a research grants stream on alternative vector control tools (including outdoor transmission).

Recommendation 3
That APMEN support information gathering on the topic “What is the current usage of primaquine” and report to the next meeting. The aim is to develop a communication strategy for Country Partners and to inform prioritization of research.

Recommendation 4
• That APMEN supports advocacy capacity building workshop.
2013 Business Meeting

Recommendation 5
That APMEN increases the Surveillance Thematic Group budget up to $100,000 (with an expectation that co financing is obtained). The increased funding is to conduct a workshop.

Recommendation 6
That APMEN Secretariat finalises internal Network evaluation.

Recommendation 7
That APMEN Secretariat develops strategic plan for Phase 2.

Recommendation 8
That the Thematic Fellowships are prioritised and deployed.

Recommendation 9
That the Secretariat continues to advocate for APMEN funding including a meeting statement.
• Due to no cost extension various activities not undertaken.
Looking back at 2013
Confirm priorities for 2014/15
Identify and plan for 2014 to 2019
APMEN

1 new Country Partner
Lao PDR joined 2014

2 new Partner Institutions
Progress of the network in 2013 – March 2014

- Level of activity to match no cost extension. Final report to the Network due end of no cost extension period (Jan 2013 - June 2014).
- Expansion of the Network and engagement of key partners such as ADB–APLMA and RBM.
- Success in supporting our own and others efforts in raising the profile and promoting the importance of malaria elimination in the Asia Pacific region.
- Joint activities with WHO planned and implemented.
Information Sharing

Website • Translation • Annual Meetings and Study tours • Supporting others and Sharing Information

Share information and develop expert consensus on issues relating to malaria elimination, in order to support policy and decision making.
2013

- APMEN V March 2013: Bali, Indonesia
- Study tour of Aceh in March 2013
- Face to face APMEN Advisory Board meeting
- Vivax and Vector Control meetings
- Website - e.g. Country Partners individual pages
- Other outputs: Numerous papers and presentations, country briefings & regular e-newsletters, updates to matrices and country briefings, social media
Building the Evidence Base

Case studies • Vivax Working Group • Vector Working Group

• APMEN Research Grants Program

Support country decision making through building of the evidence base for malaria elimination, with a particular focus on *Plasmodium vivax*
2013

✓ Vivax Working Group (Professor Ric Price)
✓ Vector Control Working Group (Dr Moh Seng Chang) - part time admin support for VcWG-ACTMalaria
✓ 3 case studies – APMEN provided support to complete case studies on Philippines, Malaysia and Bhutan as part of the WHO GMP – UCSF Global Health Group Case Study Series
✓ Survey of active surveillance methods in 12 APMEN Countries
Capacity Building

APMEN Fellowship Program and other activities

Increase expertise and capacity to carry out elimination activities through guidance, training, and sharing of experiences

APMEN Fellowship recipients
2013

✓ 3 Thematic Fellowships launched/delayed mobilization.
✓ Supported WPRO Elimination Training-
✓ Manila Advocacy Workshop to build capacity for advocacy
✓ 2 Country Partner representatives to Barcelona / 2 to Basel this year
✓ 2 Vivax research grant recipients to Barcelona Conference
AND
✓ Vivax research capacity development including workshops and visits
Leadership and Advocacy

Generate awareness • Garner support

Provide leadership and advocacy for malaria elimination in the region by expanding international and domestic awareness, funding, and support.
2013

- ADB and APLMA partnership building
- Palawan Leaders Workshop
- World Malaria Day Video
- APMEN V Meeting summary Statement
- RBM partnership
- Monthly Newsletters
- Advocacy materials developed
- Draft paper on elimination advocacy lessons from other ID
- Increased engagement
Engagement 2013

- ADB
- APLMA
- Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- JICA, GHIT, Malaria No More Japan
- Korean International Cooperation Agency (KOICA)
- Malaria Elimination Group (October 2013)
- SEARO and WPRO meetings
- Roll Back Malaria Partnership Board meeting
- American Society of Tropical Medicine 61st Annual meeting (2013)
- MESA
Emerging Priorities

Drug Resistance • Mobile populations • Community Engagement

Facilitate support for emerging priorities for malaria elimination especially in the Asia Pacific Regions
2013

✓ Surveillance: newly formed APMEN Surveillance Thematic Group.
✓ Hard to reach populations; discussions commenced with CDC, Presidents Initiative, WHO and Global Fund on military populations.
✓ Draft paper on malaria mobile populations.
Governance and Secretariat

Coordination • Management • Funding • Linkages • Planning and Reporting

Provide a governance structure for the Network to support and facilitate efficient and transparent management processes as well as to enable the effective work of the Network.
2013

☑ Chair resignation and interim new Board including Chair and vice chair until June 2014

☑ Co Chair of Vivax

☑ Evaluation – FINAL Draft Completed for finalisation this month.

☑ Increased regionalization of the network

☑ ACTMalaria and the VCWG
Budget 2013

✓ No cost extension various activities not undertaken
  ❑ 5 APMEN Fellowships
  ❑ Vector Research Grants
  ❑ Contracting of expert Advocacy support.
  ❑ Reduced advocacy and fundraising visits
  ❑ Reduced number of Advocacy Workshops and limited participants.
  ❑ Delayed refresh of website
  ❑ Delayed conversion of matrix into info graphics
SESSION 3: REPORT FROM COUNTRY PARTNERS
SESSION 4: REPORT FROM PARTNER INSTITUTIONS
18 institutions represented at meeting

Most APMEN partners ever joining remain engaged: new ones include ICDDR-B, WEHI, Malaria Consortium

Network as the best single opportunity to see multiple countries at a single venue

Interface between scientific investigations, public health and programmatic requirements
APMEN Program Recommendation

- APMEN is a networking, not a scientific meeting but perhaps more scientific content could be planned into the main meeting by:
  - Single session reviewing top 10 malaria science discoveries in the previous year
  - Reports back from Working Groups (vivax, vector) to include more scientific aims / achievements as compared to just programmatic issues
SESSION 5: REPORT FROM VIVAX WORKING GROUP
Building the Evidence

Collaboration

• NMCPs
• MoH
• Policy Makers
• Researchers
• WHO
• Funders
• MAP, WWARN, FIND, Path…

Innovation
Priorities

1. Diagnostics
   - **Parasite:** microscopy, RDTs, low parasitaemic infections...
   - **Host:** G6PD

2. Surveillance:
   - **Parasite:** reservoirs and origin of infection
   - **Host:** G6PD, seroprevalence, CYP2D...

3. Treatment
   - Optimise Treatment of Blood Stage, and CQR
   - Achieving Radical Cure
2014 Feedback

- **Key Achievements**
  - Building Capacity
  - Building quality evidence
  - Sharing and integrating Protocols / Analysis
  - Sharing Data – IP, platforms
  - Forum for exchange of ideas
  - Advocacy
  - CP to IP – a two way process
  - APMEN Technical Program for locally driven science
  - Integrating with wider groups: MAP, OPRA, IMPROV…
  - Leveraging Funds
2014 Feedback

- **Challenges**
  - Different tools
  - Different places
  - Different needs
  - Maintaining Country Partner Focus...
  - Diverse opinions
  - Investments take time
  - Dialogue and language
  - Increasing partners, increasing agenda, limited funding
  - Evidence is one thing, reality is another!
### Vivax Working Group - Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic People’s Republic of Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner Institutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australian Army Malaria Institute, Brisbane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnet Institute, Melbourne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Center of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eijkman Oxford Clinical Research Unit, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karolinska Institute, Stockholm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nossal Institute for Global Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahidol Vivax Research Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria Research Centre, University Malaysia Sarawak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria Atlas Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menzies School of Health Research, Darwin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut Pasteur du Cambodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG Institute for Medical Research, Goroka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Brisbane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Melbourne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Wide Antimalarial Resistance Network</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dr Chee Chong Khong – Co Chair

Country Partner Co-Chair of the Vivax Working Group

- Promote the Group’s work
- Engage Country Partners and Partner Institutions
- Providing an additional point of reference for Country Partners.

Dr Chong
Director of the Disease Control
Malaysian Ministry of Health

Dr Kamala Ley Thriemer
Clinical Coordinator
Looking Forward

- Expansion vs Consolidation
  - Delivering science in progress
  - Engage with country programs to translate where possible
  - Review and Revise priorities
  - Integrating with other groups – surveillance, MAP
  - Broaden scope to elimination not just vivax…
  - Country partner lead
SESSION 6: REPORT FROM VECTOR CONTROL WORKING GROUP
Advocacy and Technical Support

Move forward the recommendations on entomology capacity (including IR monitoring)
Capacity Building & Knowledge/Resource/Information Sharing

- Public Health Entomology Training – in collaboration with WHO and other Technical Partners (content dev’t according to country needs related to entomology and vector control)
- Continued support to Participants to the IVM Training
- Strengthen/facilitate networking/linkage between National Programmes and the Research Institutions (e.g. between field activities and molecular lab)
- Insecticide Resistance Information
- Sharing of country SOPs and Tools (e.g. QA for Vector Control Commodities)
- LSM application in country partners
Operational Research

- Possible support for studies in countries on LLIN survival (ffg. WHO protocol)– including factors influencing longevity/durability to inform local choice under different situations (share this information among country members)
- Vector behaviour change (fellowship or small grants scheme)
- Support for Vector Mapping of Breeding Habitats & LSM Pilot studies to support policy development
VCWG

- Dr. Christina Rundi – Chairperson
- Dr. Ranjith Bandara – Vice-Chair
SESSION 7: EVALUATIONS OF THE NETWORK
Background

- APMENs evaluation
  - Document circulated
  - Findings discussed last year
- Australian government external evaluation – results not shared to date
- Major recommendations page 42-47
- Used to inform strategic plan
- Major findings and then what response to strategic plan will now be presented
• The evaluation found that the majority of respondents from across the Network valued APMEN has a collegial forum that has established elimination as a regional agenda and supported partners in their respective work toward elimination.

• Respondents from across the Network found that APMEN is highly beneficial in a number of ways,
However the evaluation found that the Network faces a number of significant challenges.

These challenges include:

- that the diverse composition of the Network obscures the aims, functions and value added of the Network;
- that the Network has failed to clarify and communicate its value added to many global malaria actors;
- that communication within the Network relies heavily on face-to-face meetings; and
- that more effort is required to translate research and training into action.
• Page by page discussion : Starting page 45
SESSION 8: SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS FROM PLENARIES, MEETINGS AND VOTING
What is the co-chair?

2 co-chairs

- 1 is host country of the next meeting as co-chair (Country Partner Chair)
- 2nd is from Partner Institutions and elected by Country partner and Partner Institutions.
- Any nominations? (and they will be asked to leave the room)

VOTE
The Country partners recommend that the working groups (Vector/Vivax/Surveillance and Response) hold a meeting earlier than the Annual Technical Meeting to enable the Working groups to deliberate and synthesise technical issues and then provide an update on technical challenges as a permanent agenda item at the Annual APMEN Technical Meeting. This should be achieved in the most cost effective manner.

**Rationale:** Working groups need to spend focused time on reviewing and analyzing technical issues regarding key APMEN priority challenges. This is a major contribution by partner institutions to the Network. These meetings held before the APMEN main meeting allows time for the recommendations to be developed and feed into the technical meeting agenda (as requested at the Partner Institutions breakfast meeting) and the annual planning processes for budget, activities and advocacy with a quicker turnaround time as requested in the World cafe.
• APMEN Country Partners request Secretariat to develop a work plan for the network to strengthen linkages with APLMA.

• **Rationale:** APLMA now on the regional malaria landscape, and welcomed development by the APMEN. Ensuring coordination in advocacy efforts and policy recommendations for APLMA are technically sound and feasible, and supporting APMEN Country Partner timeliness in supporting the implementation of the recommendations of APLMA.
• APMEN Country Partners requests Secretariat to develop a concept note on sustainable financing for the network by October 2014. A 2 -3 person working party should assist in reviewing this concept note before circulation to the Country partners at the end of the year.

• **Rationale**: The 5 year strategic plan needs to have a larger funding base than presently committed or notionally available. The Network needs to have a broader and diversified funding base to continue its work.
APMEN Country Partners recommends APMEN has a monitoring and evaluation taskforce to oversee annual reporting process and evaluating network in the next strategic plan period.

Rationale: The Five year strategic plan needs a clear monitoring and evaluation framework to support both transparency and accountability to the Network and to the funding partners.
• Others from submitted forms
- That the Surveillance and response thematic group become a working group.
- Foundation Chair and vice chair
- Governance documents linked to the proposed Network governance review
GOAL: To provide a forum for collaboration, information sharing, advocacy and capacity building to support the achievement and sustaining of malaria elimination in the Asia Pacific Region

Indicator: APMEN evaluated as providing such a forum through independent evaluation
Strategic Objectives 2014-2019

Key Result Areas

Priority Key Outcomes

Evidence based policies and programmes for malaria elimination implemented in countries and the region

Increased and sustainable support for malaria elimination in the region

Increased country capacity to advocate for malaria elimination agenda internally and regionally

Strengthened capacity to identify and address challenges and emerging threats to malaria elimination in the region

Increased evidence available to support malaria elimination

Partnership and Advocacy

Capacity building of emerging leaders, managers and community advocates in competencies related to advocacy for malaria elimination

Tools, materials and activities developed to support advocacy

Participation in advocacy activities for applied research funding linked to malaria elimination needs in Asia Pacific Region

Strategic “twinning” partnerships for malaria elimination developed with other networks supporting malaria elimination in other regions of the world (e.g. Mesoamerica, Southern Africa)

Strategic partnerships for malaria elimination developed with regional leadership, corporate and private sector, NGOs, civil society and global and regional malaria and disease control organisations and development partners

Strengthened support to implementation of malaria elimination strategies

Capacity building of emerging leaders, researchers and managers in competencies related to malaria intensified control and elimination

Support and disseminate the documentation and evaluation of approaches to and tools used for malaria elimination

Case studies, financial and costing analysis and other management and implementation tools supported and made available

Broadened base for sustainable resourcing for malaria elimination strategies in the APR and countries

Strengthened regional collaboration for improved effectiveness of malaria elimination strategies

Research and evidence synthesised and disseminated to country managers, service providers and partners

Catalytic research for drug treatment and diagnostics of malaria in elimination settings supported

Catalytic research for vector control in elimination settings supported

Catalytic research for surveillance linked to malaria elimination supported

Catalytic research for health systems and community participation linked to achievement and sustaining of malaria elimination supported

Catalytic research for emerging themes linked to achievement of malaria elimination supported

Strengthened governance and management

Broadened and sustainable resourcing base for APMEN and its activities

Support to regional networks and alliances of leaders provided

Catalytic research for vector control in elimination settings supported

Catalytic research for surveillance linked to malaria elimination supported

Catalytic research for health systems and community participation linked to achievement and sustaining of malaria elimination supported

Co-host/support regional activities linked to malaria elimination and challenges to malaria elimination

Regular communication with and reports to country partners, partner institutions and stakeholders

Appropriate organisational mechanisms for regional network established

Sound financial and contractual management maintained

Monitoring and evaluation
OBJECTIVE ONE: PARTNERSHIPS AND ADVOCACY

- Objective: To increase the political, financial and sectoral commitment to achieving and sustaining malaria elimination in the Asia Pacific region
- **Indicator:** Funding for malaria elimination available for countries and activities in APR
- Outcomes:
  1. Human capacity amongst country partners, partner institutions and other stakeholders in the region to effectively advocate developed
     *Number of persons in key positions in countries competent to support advocacy*
  2. Advocacy tools and materials developed/disseminated *Tools and materials available*
  3. Participation in key regional and global advocacy linked malaria events
     *APMEN representatives attend key events*
  4. Strategic engagement with key regional and country partners (government, NGO, CSO, private sector, development agencies, leadership groups) *APMEN invited to participate*
OBJECTIVE TWO: STRENGTHENED SUPPORT TO IMPLEMENTATION OF MALARIA ELIMINATION STRATEGIES

- To strengthen and maintain capacity within the region and countries to effectively and efficiently implement malaria elimination strategies

Outcomes:

- Malaria elimination strategies implemented as per regional and country strategic and annual operational plans. *Strategic and annual plan indicators achieved*

- Improved effectiveness and efficiency of malaria activities in APMEN countries *Cost/activity and outcome/outputs per activity*
1. Capacity building of emerging leaders, researchers and managers in competencies related to malaria intensified control and elimination Number of persons in key positions in countries competent to support advocacy

2. Documentation and analyses of programmes, strategies and achievements in malaria elimination Documents disseminated

3. Support, develop and disseminate management and implementation tools for malaria elimination Tools disseminated

4. Broaden the base for sustainable resourcing for malaria elimination in the APR and countries. Plans completed, Funding for malaria elimination in country partners stable or increased over time
OBJECTIVE THREE: INCREASED ACCESS TO EVIDENCE BASE FOR IMPROVED EFFECTIVENESS OF MALARIA ELIMINATION AND RELATED STRATEGIES

- Increased evidence based malaria elimination strategies and programmes developed and implemented in APR
- OUTCOME: Increased quality evidence available to support policy and programme development and implementation
  1. Research and evidence synthesised & disseminated to country partners, service providers and partners
  2. Catalytic research for addressing gaps in evidence for drug treatment and diagnostics of malaria in APR elimination settings supported
  3. Catalytic research for vector control in elimination settings in APR and related operational tools supported
  4. Catalytic research for addressing gaps in evidence for surveillance and response linked to malaria in APR supported
  5. Catalytic research for health systems and community participation linked to the achievement and sustaining of malaria elimination supported
  6. Catalytic research for emerging themes linked to the achievement of malaria elimination supported
OBJECTIVE 4 STRENGTHENED REGIONAL COLLABORATION FOR ACHIEVING AND SUSTAINING MALARIA ELIMINATION

• To strengthen collaboration with regional and global networks and organisations involved in malaria control, elimination and related relevant activities.

• OUTCOME: APMEN valued as a partner in the malaria elimination community.

1. Support to regional networks and alliances of leaders provided Support provided.
OBJECTIVE FIVE: STRENGTHENED GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT OF APMEN

- Maintain a robust country owned, accountable and reputable Network for malaria elimination in the Asia Pacific Region

OUTCOME: APMEN remains a vibrant relevant network to country partners, partner institutions and the regional malaria community

1. Broadened and sustainable resourcing base for APMEN and its activities
   Financing secured

2. Maintain and strengthened governance and management of the network
   Network managed effectively

3. Regular communication and reporting to the network members and stakeholders
   Communications and reporting provided

4. Appropriate longer term organisational mechanisms for regionally based
   APMEN developed and established
   New Network mechanism established

5. Finances and contracts managed effectively, efficiently to high accountability and transparency standards
   Activity plan implemented

6. Monitoring and evaluation undertaken and findings responded to by APMEN
   M and E reports and responses available
Funding realities

- Australian government
- BMGF
- Pro bono
- Sumitomo
- Chinese government
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total budget (US$)</th>
<th>Funded amounts US$</th>
<th>Funders description/ conditions US$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy activities</td>
<td>Publications, training workshops, country support, consultant support</td>
<td>212,500</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>GHG support to advocacy 127,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellowships</td>
<td>5 + 3 thematic fellowships of duration 4-6 weeks, approximately 25,000 each. This is maintenance of existing level of fellowships, although growth of Network would require increase in the number</td>
<td>255,000</td>
<td>117,647</td>
<td>Institutional support to hosting and training of fellows 137,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Research grants and related translation of research into policy and practice and capacity development up to 50,000 - maximum each - broadened form vivax to include vector, health service research and capacity building</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>297,914</td>
<td>Nil other 1,202,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training/other capacity development</td>
<td>3-4 purpose developed elimination topics training workshops - at least 2 in partnership with WHO</td>
<td>475,000</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>WHO facilitators and meeting costs, Sumitomo 250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual meeting and related governance activities (board, Business meeting)</td>
<td>Supports the costs of country partner (15) 2 delegates and key regional institutions (country level) to participate (air fares, accommodation, meeting related costs, study tour)</td>
<td>510,000</td>
<td>276,250</td>
<td>Cost sharing by country partners, key note speakers professional fees covered by their institution or themselves, institutional partner cover this\(err own costs, Host country inputs into meeting, GHG participation 233,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretariat and related costs of running network</td>
<td>Salaries communications, travel costs for representation at forums and meetings,</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>219,600</td>
<td>GHG salary support and related costs 380,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working group management, workshops, activities</td>
<td>Subcontracts to manage administration of thematic and working groups, travel and representation costs,</td>
<td>297,500</td>
<td>130,030</td>
<td>Subcontracts to manage administration of thematic and working groups 167,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL BUDGET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3,637,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,266,441</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,203,589</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage contribution</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>61</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL FUNDING GAP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2,203,589</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Possibilities to discuss (chance, priorities, pros and cons)

- Regional Health Trust Account
- Global Fund regional grant
- New avenues for philanthropy
- Country contributions
ANY OTHER BUSINESS